arXiv:1301.4887v2 [math.CA] 8 Feb 2013

Explicit matrix inverses for lower triangular matrices with entries involving Gegenbauer polynomials

Tom H. Koornwinder

Abstract

For a one-parameter family of lower triangular matrices with entries involving Gegenbauer polynomials an explicit inverse is given, again with entries involving Gegenbauer polynomials. One choice of the parameter solves an open problem in a recent paper by Koelink, van Pruijssen & P. Roman. Another family of pairs of mutually inverse lower triangular matrices with entries involving Gegenbauer polynomials, unrelated to the family just mentioned, is implied by a paper by J. W. Brown & S. M. Roman (1981). J. Koekoek and R. Koekoek (1999) generalize this family to entries involving Jacobi polynomials. The present paper also shows that this last family is a limit case of a pair of connection relations between Askey-Wilson parameters having one of their four parameter in common.

1 Introduction

The first part of this note is intended as a kind of supplement to the paper [9] by Koelink, van Pruijssen & Roman. It solves their open problem [9, Theorem 2.1 and paragraph after Theorem 6.2] to invert a lower triangular matrix with entries involving Gegenbauer polynomials. For a one-parameter family of such matrices I give the explicit inverse matrix in Theorem 2.1. One specialization of the parameter gives the inversion desired in [9]. Another specialization gives a matrix inversion already handled in a paper [2] by Brega & Cagliero.

Another two-parameter family of pairs of mutually inverse lower triangular matrices with entries involving Gegenbauer polynomials, unrelated to the family just mentioned, is implied by J. W. Brown & S. M. Roman [3, (4.14)]. J. Koekoek and R. Koekoek [7, (17)], unaware of [3], generalized a one-parameter subfamily of this two-parameter family to entries involving Jacobi polynomials. I will show that this last family can be realized as a limit case of a pair of connection relations between Askey-Wilson parameters having one of their four parameter in common. These Askey-Wilson connection coefficients were first given by Askey & Wilson [1, (6.5)]. The limit case connects Jacobi polynomials $P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ with shifted monomials $x \mapsto (x-y)^k$.

The contents of the paper are as follows. The main results are stated in Section 2. The computations leading to the explicit inverse matrix of the first family of lower triangular matrices are given in Section 3. The computations giving the limit of the Askey-Wilson connection relations are done in Section 4.

Acknowledgement I thank Michael Schlosser for the suggestion to look for a limit case of the Askey-Wilson connection relations, and I thank Roelof Koekoek for calling my attention to [8].

2 Main results

Jacobi polynomials (see for instance [8, Section 9.8]) can be expressed in terms of the Gauss hypergeometric function by

$$P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) := \frac{(\alpha+1)_n}{n!}\ {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix}-n, n+\alpha+\beta+1\\ \alpha+1\end{matrix}; \tfrac{1}{2}(1-x)\right) \tag{2.1}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(n+\alpha+\beta+1)_k\ (\alpha+k)_{n-k}}{k!\,(n-k)!}\,\frac{(x-1)^k}{2^k}\,. \tag{2.2}$$

Note that they are well-defined for all values of α, β. Their normalization avoids artificial singularities. For $\alpha = \beta$ Jacobi polynomials are often written as Gegenbauer polynomials:

$$C_n^{(\lambda)}(x) := \frac{(2\lambda)_n}{(\lambda+\frac{1}{2})_n}\,P_n^{(\lambda-\frac{1}{2},\lambda-\frac{1}{2})}(x). \tag{2.3}$$

Thus $C_n^{(0)}(x) = \delta_{n,0}$, which will be kept as a convention in this paper, although in literature the case $\lambda = 0$ is usually rescaled in order to obtain the Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind.

In Section 3 it will be shown that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} P_{n-k}^{(\alpha_1+k,\beta_1+k)}(x)\,P_k^{(\alpha_2-k,\beta_2-k)}(x) = P_n^{(\alpha_1+\alpha_2,\beta_1+\beta_2)}(x). \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left(k - \frac{n(\alpha_2+\beta_2)}{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\beta_1+\beta_2+2n}\right) P_{n-k}^{(\alpha_1+k,\beta_1+k)}(x)\,P_k^{(\alpha_2-k,\beta_2-k)}(x)$$

$$= \frac{\alpha_2\beta_1 - \alpha_1\beta_2 + n(\alpha_2-\beta_2)}{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\beta_1+\beta_2+2n}\,P_{n-1}^{(\alpha_1+\alpha_2,\beta_1+\beta_2)}(x) \qquad (n>0). \tag{2.5}$$

For $\alpha_1 = \beta_1$, $\alpha_2 = \beta_2$ formula (2.5) reduces to

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left(k - \frac{n\alpha_2}{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+n}\right) P_{n-k}^{(\alpha_1+k,\alpha_1+k)}(x)\,P_k^{(\alpha_2-k,\alpha_2-k)}(x) = 0 \quad (n>0). \tag{2.6}$$

A further reduction to the case $\alpha_1 = \alpha$, $\alpha_2 = -\alpha$ ($\alpha \neq 0$) yields

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{k+\alpha}{\alpha}\,P_{n-k}^{(\alpha+k,\alpha+k)}(x)\,P_k^{(-\alpha-k,-\alpha-k)}(x) = \delta_{n,0}. \tag{2.7}$$

Now make in (2.7) the substitutions $n \to m-n$, $k \to k-n$, $\alpha \to \alpha+n$. The resulting identity for $\alpha > 0$ is

$$\sum_{k=n}^{m} \frac{k+\alpha}{n+\alpha}\,P_{m-k}^{(\alpha+k,\alpha+k)}(x)\,P_{k-n}^{(-\alpha-k,-\alpha-k)}(x) = \delta_{m,n} \qquad (m \geq n \geq 0). \tag{2.8}$$

This identity can be rephrased as a pair of inverse relations or equivalently as two lower triangular $\infty \times \infty$ matrices with explicit entries which are inverse to each other:

Theorem 2.1. *$AB = I = BA$ where A, B are lower triangular matrices given by ($\alpha > 0$)*

$$A_{mn} = P_{m-n}^{(\alpha+n,\alpha+n)}(x), \qquad B_{mn} = \frac{m+\alpha}{n+\alpha}\, P_{m-n}^{(-\alpha-m,-\alpha-m)}(x) \qquad (m \geq n \geq 0). \tag{2.9}$$

Just as we can go from $AB = I$ to (2.8) and backwards, we can go back and forth from $BA = I$ to the identity

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{n+\alpha}{k+\alpha}\, P_k^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(x)\, P_{n-k}^{(-\alpha-n,-\alpha-n)}(x) = \delta_{n,0}. \tag{2.10}$$

There are two different places in literature where Theorem 2.1 can be used, for $\alpha = 1$ and $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, respectively:

1. The case $\alpha = 1$ occurs in Brega & Cagliero [2, p.471] with a proof similar as given here.
2. The case $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ of the matrix A in (2.9) occurs in Koelink, van Pruijssen & Roman [9, Theorem 2.1] in the form of the lower triangular matrix $L(x)$ given by

$$(L(x))_{mn} = \frac{m!\,(2n+1)!}{(m+n+1)!\,n!}\, C_{m-n}^{(n+1)}(x) = \frac{m!\,(\frac{3}{2})_n}{(\frac{3}{2})_m\, n!}\, P_{m-n}^{(n+\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2})}(x) \quad (m \geq n \geq 0). \tag{2.11}$$

There the matrix has finite size (which does not matter for the purpose of inversion). As the authors wrote in [9, paragraph after Theorem 6.2], they tried to find an explicit inverse matrix but did not succeed. We can give the inverse by (2.9) for $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ as follows.

$$(L^{-1}(x))_{mn} = \frac{m!\,(m+n)!}{(2m)!\,n!}\, C_{m-n}^{(-m)}(x) = \frac{m!\,(-\frac{1}{2})_{n+1}}{(-\frac{1}{2})_{m+1}\, n!}\, P_{m-n}^{(-m-\frac{1}{2},-m-\frac{1}{2})}(x) \quad (m \geq n \geq 0). \tag{2.12}$$

Remark 2.2. Brown & Roman [3, (4.12)–(4.15)] obtain inverse relations involving Gegenbauer polynomials of which a special case is close to (2.7) but not equal to it. It reads

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(n+2\alpha+1)_k}{(2\alpha+2)_k} P_{n-k}^{(\alpha+k,\alpha+k)}(x)\, P_k^{(-\alpha-k-1,-\alpha-k-1)}(x) = \delta_{n,0}. \tag{2.13}$$

In fact, they give a more general identity

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\nu}{\mu k+\nu}\, C_k^{(\mu k+\nu)}(x)\, C_{n-k}^{(-\mu k-\nu)}(x) = 0. \tag{2.14}$$

Then (2.13) is the case $\mu = -1$, $\nu = -\alpha - \frac{1}{2}$ of (2.14).

Formula (2.13) is also the case $\alpha = \beta$ of the identity

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(\alpha+\beta+n+1)_k}{(\alpha+\beta+2)_k}\, P_{n-k}^{(\alpha+k,\beta+k)}(y)\, P_k^{(-\alpha-k-1,-\beta-k-1)}(y) = \delta_{n,0}. \tag{2.15}$$

This last identity is a consequence of the pair of mutually inverse lower triangular matrices implied by J. Koekoek & R. Koekoek [7, (17)].

In section 4 I will show that (2.15), and hence (2.13), is related to a limit case of a connection formula for Askey-Wilson polynomials.

Remark 2.3. There remain several interesting questions. First of all, is there a larger family of explicit mutually inverse lower triangular matrices which includes both the family of Theorem 2.1 and the family (4.10) implying (2.15)? Furthermore, are there two simple systems of special functions connected by the matrices in Theorem 2.1? If yes, can this also be seen as a limit case for $q \to 1$ of some connection formula in the q-case? Finally there is the puzzling Brown-Roman formula (2.15). Does this have an extension to Jacobi polynomials for general μ?

3 Computations leading to Theorem 2.1

Lemma 3.1. *If the functions f and g have derivatives up to order n then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} f^{(n-k)}(x)\, g^{(k)}(x) = (fg)^{(n)}(x), \tag{3.1}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} k \binom{n}{k} f^{(n-k)}(x)\, g^{(k)}(x) = n\,(fg')^{(n-1)}(x). \tag{3.2}$$

Proof Formula (3.1) is well-known. For the proof of (3.2) rewrite its left-hand side as

$$n \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j} f^{(n-j-1)}(x)\,(g')^{(j)}(x)$$

and use (3.1). □

Jacobi polynomials (2.1) satisfy a Rodrigues' formula

$$P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = \frac{(-1)^n}{2^n n!}\,(1-x)^{-\alpha}\,(1+x)^{-\beta}\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^n \Big((1-x)^{n+\alpha}(1+x)^{n+\beta}\Big). \tag{3.3}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} P_{n-k}^{(\alpha_1+k,\beta_1+k)}(x)\, P_k^{(\alpha_2-k,\beta_2-k)}(x) = \frac{(-1)^n}{2^n n!}\,(1-x)^{-\alpha_1-\alpha_2}\,(1+x)^{-\beta_1-\beta_2}$$
$$\times \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{n-k} \Big((1-x)^{n+\alpha_1}(1+x)^{n+\beta_1}\Big) \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{k} \Big((1-x)^{\alpha_2}(1+x)^{\beta_2}\Big).$$

By (3.1) and again (3.3) we obtain (2.4)

Similarly, by (3.3) and (3.2) we can write for $n > 0$:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} k\, P_{n-k}^{(\alpha_1+k,\beta_1+k)}(x)\, P_k^{(\alpha_2-k,\beta_2-k)}(x) = \frac{(-1)^n}{2^n n!}\,(1-x)^{-\alpha_1-\alpha_2}\,(1+x)^{-\beta_1-\beta_2}$$
$$\times \sum_{k=0}^{n} k \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{n-k} \Big((1-x)^{n+\alpha_1}(1+x)^{n+\beta_1}\Big) \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{k} \Big((1-x)^{\alpha_2}(1+x)^{\beta_2}\Big)$$
$$= \frac{(-1)^n}{2^n (n-1)!}\,(1-x)^{-\alpha_1-\alpha_2}\,(1+x)^{-\beta_1-\beta_2} \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{n-1} \Big((1-x)^{n+\alpha_1}(1+x)^{n+\beta_1}\, \tfrac{d}{dx}\big((1-x)^{\alpha_2}(1+x)^{\beta_2}\big)\Big).$$

By straightforward computation we get

$$(1-x)^{n+\alpha_1}(1+x)^{n+\beta_1}\,\frac{d}{dx}\Big((1-x)^{\alpha_2}(1+x)^{\beta_2}\Big)$$
$$=\frac{\alpha_2+\beta_2}{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\beta_1+\beta_2+2n}\,\frac{d}{dx}\Big((1-x)^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+n}(1+x)^{\beta_1+\beta_2+n}\Big)$$
$$-2\,\frac{\alpha_2\beta_1-\alpha_1\beta_2+n(\alpha_2-\beta_2)}{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\beta_1+\beta_2+2n}\,(1-x)^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+n-1}(1+x)^{\beta_1+\beta_2+n-1}.$$

By (3.3) we finally obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} k\,P_{n-k}^{(\alpha_1+k,\beta_1+k)}(x)\,P_k^{(\alpha_2-k,\beta_2-k)}(x)=\frac{n(\alpha_2+\beta_2)}{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\beta_1+\beta_2+2n}\,P_n^{(\alpha_1+\alpha_2,\beta_1+\beta_2)}(x)$$
$$+\frac{\alpha_2\beta_1-\alpha_1\beta_2+n(\alpha_2-\beta_2)}{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\beta_1+\beta_2+2n}\,P_{n-1}^{(\alpha_1+\alpha_2,\beta_1+\beta_2)}(x)\quad(n>0).\tag{3.4}$$

Combination of (2.4) and (3.4) yields (2.5).

4 Limits of a connection formula for Askey-Wilson polynomials

Askey-Wilson polynomials [1] are defined by

$$p_n(\cos\theta;a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4\mid q):=\frac{(a_1a_2,a_1a_3,a_1a_4;q)_n}{a_1^n}\;{}_4\phi_3\left(\begin{matrix}q^{-n},a_1a_2a_3a_4q^{n-1},a_1e^{i\theta},a_1e^{-i\theta}\\ a_1a_2,a_1a_3,a_1a_4\end{matrix};q,q\right).\tag{4.1}$$

They are symmetric in a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4. The connection coefficients $c_{n,k}$ in

$$p_n(\cos\theta;b_1,b_2,b_3,a_4\mid q)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}c_{n,k}(b_1,b_2,b_3,a_4;a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4\mid q)\,p_k(\cos\theta;a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4\mid q)\tag{4.2}$$

are explicitly given in Askey & Wilson [1, (6.5)]:

$$c_{n,k}(b_1,b_2,b_3,a_4;a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4\mid q)=\frac{q^{k(k-n)}(q;q)_n}{a_4^{n-k}(q;q)_{n-k}(q;q)_k}\,\frac{(b_1b_2b_3a_4q^{n-1};q)_k}{(a_1a_2a_3a_4q^{k-1};q)_k}$$
$$\times\,(b_1a_4q^k,b_2a_4q^k,b_3a_4q^k;q)_{n-k}\;{}_5\phi_4\left(\begin{matrix}q^{k-n},b_1b_2b_3a_4q^{n+k-1},a_1a_4q^k,a_2a_4q^k,a_3a_4q^k\\ b_1a_4q^k,b_2a_4q^k,b_3a_4q^k,a_1a_2a_3a_4q^{2k}\end{matrix};q,q\right).\tag{4.3}$$

See also Ismail & Zhang [5, Section 3] and Ismail [6, §16.4], where the connection coefficients are given more generally for $a_4\neq b_4$. However, note that in [5, (3.13)] and [6, (16.4.3)] one should read $c_{n,k}(\mathbf{b},\mathbf{a})$ instead of $c_{n,k}(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})$.

Now put

$$a_4:=q^{\alpha+1}/b_1,\quad b_3:=q^{\beta+1}/b_2\tag{4.4}$$

in (4.2) and (4.3), and multiply both sides of (4.2) by $1/(q;q)_n$. By (4.1) and (2.1) we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{q\to 1}\frac{1}{(q;q)_n}\,p_n(\cos\theta;b_1,b_2,q^{\beta+1}/b_2,q^{\alpha+1}/b_1\mid q)\\
&\quad=\left(\frac{(1-b_1b_2)(b_2-b_1)}{b_1b_2}\right)^n\frac{(\alpha+1)_n}{n!}\,{}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix}-n,n+\alpha+\beta+1\\ \alpha+1\end{matrix};\frac{b_2(1-2b_1\cos\theta+b_1^2)}{(1-b_1b_2)(b_2-b_1)}\right)\\
&\quad=\left(\frac{(1-b_1b_2)(b_2-b_1)}{b_1b_2}\right)^n P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}\left(1-2\,\frac{b_2(1-2b_1\cos\theta+b_1^2)}{(1-b_1b_2)(b_2-b_1)}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

By (4.2) we also see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{q\to 1}p_k(\cos\theta;a_1,a_2,a_3,q^{\alpha+1}/b_1\mid q)\\
&\quad=\left(\frac{(1-a_1a_2)(1-a_1a_3)(b_1-a_1)}{a_1b_1}\right)^k{}_1F_0\left(\begin{matrix}-k\\ -\end{matrix};\frac{(b_1-a_1a_2a_3)(1-2a_1\cos\theta+a_1^2)}{(1-a_1a_2)(1-a_1a_3)(b_1-a_1)}\right)\\
&\quad=\left(\frac{(1-a_1a_2)(1-a_1a_3)(b_1-a_1)-(b_1-a_1a_2a_3)(1-2a_1\cos\theta+a_1^2)}{a_1b_1}\right)^k.
\end{aligned}$$

For the ${}_5\phi_4$ in (4.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{q\to 1}\frac{(q^{\alpha+k+1};q)_{n-k}}{(q;q)_{n-k}}\,{}_5\phi_4\left(\begin{matrix}q^{k-n},q^{n+k+\alpha+\beta+1},q^{\alpha+k+1}a_1/b_1,q^{\alpha+k+1}a_2/b_1,q^{\alpha+k+1}a_3/b_1\\ q^{\alpha+k+1},q^{\alpha+k+1}b_2/b_1,q^{\alpha+\beta+k+2}/(b_1b_2),q^{\alpha+2k+1}a_1a_2a_3/b_1\end{matrix};q,q\right)\\
&\quad=\frac{(\alpha+k+1)_{n-k}}{(n-k)!}\,{}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix}-n+k,n+k+\alpha+\beta+1\\ \alpha+k+1\end{matrix};\frac{b_2(b_1-a_1)(b_1-a_2)(b_1-a_3)}{(b_1-b_2)(b_1b_2-1)(b_1-a_1a_2a_3)}\right)\\
&\quad=P_{n-k}^{(\alpha+k,\beta+k)}\left(1-2\,\frac{b_2(b_1-a_1)(b_1-a_2)(b_1-a_3)}{(b_1-b_2)(b_1b_2-1)(b_1-a_1a_2a_3)}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

For the other factors in (4.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{q\to 1}\frac{q^{k(k-n)}}{a_4^{n-k}(q;q)_k}\,\frac{(q^{n+\alpha+\beta+1};q)_k}{(q^{\alpha+k}a_1a_2a_3/b_1;q)_k}\,(q^{\alpha+k+1}b_2/b_1,q^{\alpha+\beta+k+2}/(b_1b_2);q)_{n-k}\\
&\qquad=\frac{(n+\alpha+\beta+1)_k}{k!}\,\left(\frac{b_1}{b_1-a_1a_2a_3}\right)^k\left(\frac{(b_1-b_2)(b_1b_2-1)}{b_1b_2}\right)^{n-k}.
\end{aligned}$$

Also put

$$x=1-2\,\frac{b_2(1-2b_1\cos\theta+b_1^2)}{(1-b_1b_2)(b_2-b_1)},\quad y=1-2\,\frac{b_2(b_1-a_1)(b_1-a_2)(b_1-a_3)}{(b_1-b_2)(b_1b_2-1)(b_1-a_1a_2a_3)}. \tag{4.5}$$

Then we obtain the following limit case of (4.2) as $q\to 1$:

$$P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\frac{(n+\alpha+\beta+1)_k}{k!}\,P_{n-k}^{(\alpha+k,\beta+k)}(y)\,\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)^k. \tag{4.6}$$

Now interchange the a and b parameters in (4.2):

$$p_n(\cos\theta;a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4 \mid q)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} c_{n,k}(a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4;b_1,b_2,b_3,a_4 \mid q)\, p_k(\cos\theta;b_1,b_2,b_3,a_4 \mid q), \tag{4.7}$$

and use (4.3) with the a and b parameters interchanged and with the order of summation reversion formula [4, Exercise 1.4(ii)] applied to the ${}_5\phi_4$:

$$\begin{aligned} c_{n,k}(a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4;b_1,b_2,b_3,a_4 \mid q) &= \frac{(-1)^{n-k}q^{-\frac{1}{2}(n-k)(n+k-1)}(q;q)_n}{a_4^{n-k}(q;q)_{n-k}(q;q)_k} \\ &\times \frac{(a_1a_2a_3a_4q^{n-1};q)_n}{(b_1b_2b_3a_4q^{k-1};q)_k(b_1b_2b_3a_4q^{2k};q)_{n-k}}\,(b_1a_4q^k,b_2a_4q^k,b_3a_4q^k;q)_{n-k} \\ &\times {}_5\phi_4\left(\begin{matrix} q^{k-n},q^{1-k-n}/(b_1b_2b_3a_4),q^{1-n}/(a_1a_4),q^{1-n}/(a_2a_4),q^{1-n}/(a_3a_4) \\ q^{1-n}/(b_1a_4),q^{1-n}/(b_2a_4),q^{1-n}/(b_3a_4),q^{1-2n}/(a_1a_2a_3a_4)\end{matrix};q,q\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Now substitute (4.4) in (4.7) and (4.8) and let x and y be given by (4.5). By similar computations as for obtaining (4.6) we get as the limit of (4.7) for $q\to 1$ the following identity:

$$\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)^n=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\frac{\alpha+\beta+2k+1}{\alpha+\beta+k+1}\frac{n!}{(\alpha+\beta+k+2)_n}\,P_{n-k}^{(-\alpha-n-1,-\beta-n-1)}(y)\,P_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x). \tag{4.9}$$

Formula (4.9) was earlier given by J. Koekoek & R. Koekoek [7, (21)]. As an alternative to their direct derivation (independently of the Askey-Wilson connection coefficients) one might also compute that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\int_{-1}^{1}(x-y)^n\,P_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)\,(1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta\,dx}{\int_{-1}^{1}(P_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x))^2\,(1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta\,dx} \\ &\qquad\qquad =\frac{\alpha+\beta+2k+1}{\alpha+\beta+k+1}\frac{2^n\,n!}{(\alpha+\beta+k+2)_n}\,P_{n-k}^{(-\alpha-n-1,-\beta-n-1)}(y) \end{aligned}$$

by substituting Rodrigues' formula for Jacobi polynomials in the numerator on the left-hand side, then performing repeated integration by parts, then using Euler's integral representation for hypergeometric functions and finally reversing the order of summation in the resulting terminating hyoergeometric series.

From (4.6) and (4.9) we see (as also observed in [7]) that $AB=I=BA$, where A and B are the lower triangular matrices given for $m\geq n\geq 0$ by

$$\begin{aligned} A_{mn}&=\frac{(\alpha+\beta+m+1)_n}{n!}\,P_{m-n}^{(\alpha+n,\beta+n)}(y), \\ B_{mn}&=\frac{\alpha+\beta+2n+1}{\alpha+\beta+n+1}\,\frac{m!}{(\alpha+\beta+n+2)_m}\,P_{m-n}^{(-\alpha-m-1,-\beta-m-1)}(y). \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

In particular, we obtain from $AB=I$ the identities (2.15) and (2.13), while conversely from (2.15) with (α,β) running through all $(\alpha+j,\beta+j)$ $(j\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$ the full set of scalar identities in

$AB = I$ for (α, β) can be derived. Similarly we obtain from $BA = I$ that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\alpha+\beta+2k+1}{\alpha+\beta+1} \frac{(\alpha+\beta+1)_k}{(\alpha+\beta+n+2)_k} P_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}(y)\, P_{n-k}^{(-\alpha-n-1,-\beta-n-1)}(y) = \delta_{n,0}. \tag{4.11}$$

Formula (4.11) also follows from (4.9) by putting $x = y$, as already observed in [7, (22)]. Conversely (see [7, p.13]), from (4.11) with (α, β) running through all $(\alpha + j, \beta + j)$ $(j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$ the full set of scalar identities in $BA = I$ for (α, β) can be derived.

References

[1] R. Askey and J. Wilson, *Some basic hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials that generalize Jacobi polynomials*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. 54 (1985), no. 319.

[2] A. O. Brega and L. R. Cagliero, *LU-decomposition of a noncommutative linear system and Jacobi polynomials*, J. Lie Theory 19 (2009), 463–481.

[3] J. W. Brown and S. M. Roman, *Inverse relations for certain Sheffer sequences*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 12 (1981), 186–195.

[4] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, *Basic hypergeometric series*, 2nd edn., Cambridge University Press, 2004.

[5] M. E. H. Ismail and R. Zhang, *New proofs of some q-series results*, in *Theory and applications of special functions*, Dev. Math. 13, Springer-Verlag, 2005, pp. 285–299.

[6] M. E. H. Ismail, *Classical and quantum orthogonal polynomials in one variable*, Cambridge University Press, 2005; corrected reprint, 2009.

[7] J. Koekoek and R. Koekoek, *The Jacobi inversion formula*, Complex Variables Theory Appl. 39 (1999), 1–18.

[8] R. Koekoek, P. A. Lesky and R. F. Swarttouw, *Hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and their q-analogues*, Springer-Verlag, 2010.

[9] E. Koelink, M. van Pruijssen and P. Roman, *Matrix-valued orthogonal polynomials related to* $(\mathrm{SU}(2)\times\mathrm{SU}(2), \mathrm{diag})$*, II*, `arXiv:1203.0041v2 [math.CA]`, 2012; to appear in Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.

T. H. Koornwinder, Korteweg-de Vries Institute, University of Amsterdam,
P.O. Box 94248, 1090 GE Amsterdam, The Netherlands;

email: `T.H.Koornwinder@uva.nl`